UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,527	08/19/2003	Scott Smith	S63.2Q-14457-US02	4474
	7590 07/24/200 TT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD			BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE	
EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/643,527	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 periods:	1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Act no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Statutory period for reply expires	ction, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feed the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tiangle AMENDMENTS 	reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding to the proposed content of the con	on and/or search (see NOTE below); or appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See at 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will no how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided belowable that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the of be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before o because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficien was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the st REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NC 	·
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/013. Other:	08) Paper No(s)
/Todd E Manahan/ //	/L. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the rejection with Golds in view of Banas does not teach the limitation of a distensible support structure in direct contact with an inner tubular body. Examiner disagrees and maintains the rejection. To clarify, Golds teaches the invention including an inner tubular member (24), a stent (36, distensible support structure) in direct contact with the inner member (Figure 8 of Golds), and an outer structure made of ePTFE. Golds does not teach that the stent and outer ePTFE structure are in the form of a tape strip that is wound around the inner member.

Banas teaches an inner tubular member (12), and a tape strip that is formed of a stent/support structure (14) and an outer member (11) formed of PTFE. Banas shows that it is more desirable to place the outer structure combined with the stent onto the inner tubular member when it is in the form of a tape because this allows the user to control the location and placement of the stent onto the inner member allowing the user more control over the flexibility of the completed structure.